

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,544	09	9/29/2000	Georgios Chrysanthakopoulos	03797.85750	2723 '	
28319	7590	07/29/2004	EXAMINER			
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT				FLYNN, KIMBERLY D		
1001 G STREET , N.W. ELEVENTH STREET			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2153		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597		20001-4597		DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	/	
				•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/676,544 CHRYSANTHAK		OPOULOS ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kimberly D Flynn	2153					
	communication app		et with the correspondence a	ddress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C  - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date.  - If the period for reply specified above, the sailure to reply within the set or extended power and the sailure to reply within the set or extended power than the sailure to reply within the set or extended part of the sailure to reply within the set or extended part of the sailure to reply within the set or extended part of the sailure to reply within the set or extended part of the sailure to reply within the set or extended part of the sailure to reply within the set or extended part of the sailure to reply within the s	communication.  the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  of this communication.  than thirty (30) days, a reply  maximum statutory period weriod for reply will, by statute,  nree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejecte 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subjected	is/are withdrav ved. ed. cted to.	vn from consideration						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objecte	·							
10) The drawing(s) filed on								
			peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CER 1 121(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is o								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the</li><li>3. Copies of the certified</li></ul>	None of: ne priority documents ne priority documents ed copies of the prior International Bureau	s have been received s have been received rity documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	. in Application No been received in this Nationa	al Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			view Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-3 and 5.</li> </ul>			er No(s)/Mail Date  be of Informal Patent Application (Pirit	ГО-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/676,544

Art Unit: 2153

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-15, 17-18, 20-21, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Warchol et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,652,837).

In considering claims 1, 5, 8, and 18, Warchol discloses a method for remotely managing a computer coupled to a communication bus, the method comprising:

receiving a management command from a first device via a communication bus (col. 6, lines 58-67);

identifying one or more authorized management devices based on the one or more authorized management devices being connected, via a communications bus, to a first port of the computer; determining whether the first device is one of the one or more authorized management devices, executing the management command (col. 8, lines 14-32).

In considering claims 2, 6, 10, 14, 17 and 23-24, Warchol discloses providing, via the communication bus, data to at least one device coupled to the communication bus in response to the step of executing the management command (col. 8, lines 51-57).

Application/Control Number: 09/676,544

**Art Unit: 2153** 

In considering claims 3, 7, 11, 15, and 25, Warchol discloses the method further comprising:

receiving another management command from a second device via the communications bus; determining when the second device is not one or more authorized management devices(col. 8, lines 14-32); and when the second device is not of the one or more authorized management devices, ignoring the other management command (col. 8, lines 32-36).

In considering claim 9, Warchol discloses wherein the step of identifying is performed subsequent to a reset of a communication bus (col. 8, lines 43-50).

In considering claim 20, Warchol discloses wherein the management device is another computer (see Fig. 1, 10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 12, 16, 19, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warchol.

In considering claims 16 and 19, the limitations of these claims are substantially the same as those previously rejected in claims 1 and 8, therefore the same grounds of rejection is applicable. However; while the system disclosed by Warchol teaches using an IEEE 896 future+ bus, it fails to disclose wherein the bus interface is an IEEE 1394 interface. Nonetheless, the use

Application/Control Number: 09/676,544

Art Unit: 2153

Page 4

of a serial bus such as the IEEE 1394 Standard bus instead of a parallel bus is well known in the art. The Futurebus+, which is based on the 1994 Futurebus+ standard, is a dead bus whose features and concepts have been added to other more up-to-date designs such as the IEEE 1394 (Futurebus+ Abstract, page 6-7).

Some of the advantages of using a serial bus instead of a parallel bus include: Increased fault tolerance due to a redundant path, increased isolated and diagnosed errors, and lower cost (\$ Standard for a High Performance Serial Bus, page2). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system as disclosed by Warchol to include a IEEE 1394-compliant serial bus in order to have a powerful and low cost peripheral interconnect that allow bandwidths comparable with existing I/O interconnect standards. The serial bus also has the advantage of architectural compatibility with parallel computer buses that leads to lower communication overhead. Therefore, the aforementioned limitations would have been obvious modifications.

In considering claims 4, 12, and 22, the limitations of these claims are substantially the same as those previously rejected in claims 16 and 19, therefore the same grounds of rejection is applicable.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D Flynn whose telephone number is 703-308-7609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimberly D Flynn Examiner Art Unit 2153

**KDF** 

GLENTON B. BURGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100